

Supplementary Material for “Time-Varying Formation for Second-Order Open Multi-Agent Systems With External Disturbances”

Min Gong, Yuanqing Xia and Yuezuo Lv

APPENDIX A PROOF OF LEMMA 2

Proof. Let $e_{\kappa_i}(t) = [e_{v,\kappa_i}(t), e_{d,\kappa_i}(t)]^T$ be the error of the observer, where $e_{v,\kappa_i}(t) = v_{\kappa_i}(t) - z_{\kappa_i,0}(t)$ and $e_{d,\kappa_i}(t) = d_{\kappa_i}(t) - z_{\kappa_i}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{e}_{\kappa_i}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} -\beta_1 & 1 \\ -\beta_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} e_{\kappa_i}(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \dot{d}_{\kappa_i}(t) \\ &= A_e e_{\kappa_i}(t) + B_2 \dot{d}_{\kappa_i}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Since $\beta_1 > 0$ and $\beta_2 > 0$, A_e is Hurwitz. For any given symmetric positive definite matrix Q , there exists a symmetric positive definite matrix P_1 that satisfies

$$A_e^T P_1 + P_1 A_e + Q = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Choose the following Lyapunov function

$$V_{1\kappa_i}(t) = e_{\kappa_i}^T(t) P_1 e_{\kappa_i}(t). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The derivative of Lyapunov function is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_{1\kappa_i}(t) &= e_{\kappa_i}^T(t) (P_1 A_e + A_e^T P_1) e_{\kappa_i}(t) + 2e_{\kappa_i}^T(t) P_1 B_2 \dot{d}_{\kappa_i}(t) \\ &\leq -e_{\kappa_i}^T(t) Q e_{\kappa_i}(t) + 2\|P_1 B_2\| \|e_{\kappa_i}(t)\| \|\dot{d}_{\kappa_i}(t)\| \\ &\leq -\lambda_{\min}(Q) \|e_{\kappa_i}(t)\|^2 + 2J \|P_1 B_2\| \|e_{\kappa_i}(t)\|. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where $\lambda_{\min}(Q)$ is the minimum eigenvalue of Q . It can be concluded that the observation error has an upper bound $(2J\|P_1 B_2\|)/\lambda_{\min}(Q)$. This completes the proof.

APPENDIX B PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Proof. Consider the following Lyapunov function

$$V_2(t) = \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}^T(t) (\Gamma_\kappa \otimes P_2) \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}(t), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where Γ_κ is defined in inequality (2). Since the topology of the system (3) is invariant at time intervals $[t_\kappa, t_{\kappa+1})$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots$),

the function $V_2(t)$ is piecewise continuous and can be differentiated over time interval $[t_\kappa, t_{\kappa+1})$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(t) &= \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}^T(t) [\Gamma_\kappa \otimes \Omega_B + \bar{\Omega}_L] \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}(t) \\ &\quad + 2\tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}^T(t) (\Gamma_\kappa \otimes P_2^{-1} B_2) e_{d,F_\kappa}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where $\Omega_B = P_2 (B_1 B_2^T + B_2 \alpha) + (B_1 B_2^T + B_2 \alpha)^T P_2$, $\bar{\Omega}_L = -\delta[(L_\kappa^{\text{ff}})^T \Gamma_\kappa + \Gamma_\kappa L_\kappa^{\text{ff}}] \otimes P_2 B_2 B_2^T P_2$. According to (2), (10) and Young's Inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(t) &\leq \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}^T(t) [(2-2\delta\gamma_\kappa) \Gamma_\kappa \otimes P_2 B_2 B_2^T P_2 - \Gamma_\kappa \otimes \varepsilon P_2] \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}(t) \\ &\quad + e_{d,F_\kappa}^T(t) (\Gamma_\kappa \otimes I_2) e_{d,F_\kappa}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Since $\delta > 1/\bar{\gamma}$, based on Lemma 3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2(t) &\leq -\varepsilon \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}^T(t) [\Gamma_\kappa \otimes P_2] \tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}(t) + (\Gamma_\kappa \otimes I_2) \|e_{d,F_\kappa}(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq -\varepsilon V_2(t) + \Pi, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where $\Pi = \max_\kappa \{\Pi_\kappa\}$. Integrate (18) during $[t_\kappa^+, t)$ and $[t_{\kappa-1}^+, t_\kappa^-)$ respectively, we can obtain

$$V_2(t) \leq \left(V_2(t_\kappa^+) - \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \right) e^{-\varepsilon(t-t_\kappa^+)} + \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

$$V_2(t_\kappa^-) \leq \left(V_2(t_{\kappa-1}^+) - \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \right) e^{-\varepsilon(t_\kappa^- - t_{\kappa-1}^+)} + \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

According to (9), we can get that

$$V_2(t_\kappa^+) \leq \mu V_2(t_\kappa^-) + \Delta \quad (\text{B.7})$$

hold at switching time t_κ . Thus, the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} V_2(t) &\leq \left(V_2(t_\kappa^+) - \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \right) e^{-\varepsilon(t-t_\kappa^+)} + \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq \left(V_2(0) - \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \right) \mu^{\kappa-1} e^{-\varepsilon t} + \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad + \left[(\mu-1) \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} + \Delta \right] \sum_{\sigma=2}^{\kappa} \mu^{\kappa-\sigma} e^{\varepsilon(t_\sigma^+ - t)} \\ &\leq \left(V_2(0) - \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \right) \mu^{N_0} e^{(\frac{1}{\varsigma} \ln \mu - \varepsilon)t} + \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad + \left[(\mu-1) \frac{\Pi}{\varepsilon} + \Delta \right] \frac{e^{\varepsilon N_0 \varsigma} [1 - e^{(\ln \mu - \varepsilon \varsigma)(\kappa-1)}]}{1 - e^{\ln \mu - \varepsilon \varsigma}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

which implies that $\tilde{\xi}_{F_\kappa}(t)$ converges to $\mathcal{U}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof.